PDES in an Adaptive Runtime System

UIUC: Eric Mikida, Nikhil Jain, Joshua Lew, Laxmikant Kale RPI: Chris Carothers, Elsa Gonsiorowski LLNL: David Jefferson, Peter Barnes





What is PDES?

- Simulation of events at discrete points in virtual time
 - Contrary to time-stepped, work is not uniform/dense in time
 - Events must be executed in increasing order of virtual time
 - Traffic, Supercomputer Interconnects, Circuits, Battle Sims
- Events executed by Logical Processes (LPs)
- Synchronization required to maintain event order
- Focus is on ROSS and the Time Warp protocol





Basic Terminology

- Logical Process (LP)
- Total Executed Events
- Committed Events
- Rolled-back Events
- Global Virtual Time (GVT)
- Fossil Collection
- Event Efficiency
- Event Rate





Total Events: 0 Total Rollbacks: 0 Committed Events: 0









Total Events: 2 Total Rollbacks: 0 Committed Events: 0









Total Events: 4 Total Rollbacks: 0 Committed Events: 0







Total Events: 6 Total Rollbacks: 0 Committed Events: 0







Total Events: 6 Total Rollbacks: 1 Committed Events: 0







Total Events: 6 Total Rollbacks: 2 Committed Events: 0



















Total Events: 8 Total Rollbacks: 2 Committed Events: 0

GVT COMPUTATION: Find the minimum time

LP1 1 2 4 10 Current Time: 15







Total Events: 8 Total Rollbacks: 2 Committed Events: 5

FOSSIL COLLECTION: Commit and free events

LP1 (Current Time: 15) (Current Time: 15) (Current Time: 15)







Total Events: 8 Total Rollbacks: 2 Committed Events: 5

Event Efficiency: $(E_c - E_R)/E_c$

(5-2)/5 = 60%









Total Events: 8 Total Rollbacks: 2 Committed Events: 5

Event Efficiency: $(E_c - E_R)/E_c$

(5-2)/5 = 60%

Event Rate: E_c / t







Previous Work

- Implemented a version of ROSS on top of Charm++
 - LPs are chares: adaptive overlap, migration, location mgmt.
 - Good match to programming model: 7,277 \Rightarrow 3,991 SLOC
- Improved performance on PHOLD and Dragonfly models
 - Up to 40% higher event rate for PHOLD
 - Up to 5x higher event rate for Dragonfly model



New Work - Load Balancing

- LPs are now migratable by the runtime system
- Load of each chare measured by RTS
- Three different load balancing strategies:
 - GreedyLB
 - DistributedLB
 - HybridLB





- Basic PHOLD micro-benchmark to test comm loads
 - Each event causes a new event to be created/sent
 - New events are remote sends with probability *P*
 - Results in a uniform and balanced execution
- Added two sources of imbalance
 - Work imbalance: some LPs take longer per event
 - Event imbalance: some LPs receive more events
- All runs on 64 nodes of Vesta (BG/Q machine at ANL)







19









Load Balancing - Overhead

- Data collection, decision cost, migration cost vary
- Reasons to use lower overhead strategies
 - Shorter duration simulations
 - Simulations that require frequent load balancing
 - Simulations with high memory consumption

	No LB	GreedyLB	HybridLB	DistributedLB
Total Runtime	311 seconds	179 seconds	247 seconds	321 seconds
LB Time	N/A	8 seconds	0.9 seconds	0.02 seconds



Load Balancing - Traffic

- LPs are intersections arranged in a grid
 - Events are cars arriving, departing, changing lane
 - Cars travel from source to destination
 - Roads have a capacity, cars wait until a road is free
- Two imbalanced configurations
 - Source congestion many cars start from one place
 - Destination congestion many cars going to one place





Load Balancing - Traffic





Future Work

- Look deeper into DistributedLB
- Look into other strategies (communication aware)
- More interesting load metrics focused on event efficiency
- Joining GVT improvements with load balancing

