

Department of Business Administration University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign



Parallel Stochastic Programming: The DOD Airlift Allocation Problem

Akhil Langer, Ramprasad Venkataraman, Sanjay Kale, Udatta Palekar

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Steve Baker, Mark Surina

MITRE Corp.

9th Annual Charm++ Workshop 2011 April 19, 2011

On Any Given Day.....

- USTRANSCOM must handle
- 100 railcar shipments
- 35 ships loading, offloading, or underway
- 1,000 truck shipments
- 480 airlift sorties
 - 310 Military
 - 170 Commercial
- 70 operational air refueling sorties
- 7 air evacuation sorties
- Aircraft takeoff or landing every 90 seconds









Mobility Tradeoffs

Concrete (16,954 TONS)

Air: \$129M Sea: \$5.5M



We Want to

Be Here...

R-50

-6

1LMSR= A00CNS

But We Typically

Operate Here!

Cost

RDI



Tank tracks

(125 containers)

Air: \$17.5M

Sea: \$364K

Constrained Resources... Premium on Right Asset, Right Mission!

R-30

Time

R-2(

Air Mobility Command

HQ: Scott AFB, IL



- Worldwide Airlift
- Worldwide Air Refueling
- Aeromedical Evacuation
- Presidential & DV Support
- Civil Reserve Air Fleet (CRAF)

MISSION:

"Provide airlift, air refueling, special air mission, and aeromedical evacuation for U.S. forces."









Charm++ Workshop 2011

University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

Background

- Management of the DoD air transportation system lacks the optimal strategies for decision support that the private sector relies heavily upon
 - DoD manages the world's largest airline with uniquely diverse missions
 - Even in peacetime, mission requirements are subject to enormous uncertainty
- The Tanker Airlift Control Center (TACC) must reconcile this diverse uncertainty when predicting monthly aircraft utilization

Problem Context



- Tanker Airlift Control Center (TACC) allocations to wings incorporate a "best guess" of next month's requirements
 - Myriad possible outcomes confound decision support, e.g., aircraft breakdowns, weather, natural disaster, conflict

Modeling Approach

Minimize:

1. The costs of allocating military and long-term leased aircraft to mission categories (Stage 1)

+

- The *expected* costs of short-term aircraft leasing, aircraft operating and late and non-delivered cargo (Channel, Contingency) and missed missions (SAAM, Training) (Stage 2)
- Combine stochastic programming with parallel computing to model allocation of aircraft to airlift mission types during a periodic planning cycle
 - Stochastic programming addresses the highly probabilistic nature of military airlift: a traditional downfall of optimization in this environment
 - Parallel computing facilitates reconciliation of myriad possible outcomes in a timely manner

Solving the resulting Stochastic Program (Bender's Method)

$$\min_{\substack{y \in R_{+}^{n}: Ay = b}} \{c^{t}y + E_{\omega} [stage \ 2 \ costs]\}$$

where $E_{\omega}[stage \ 2 \ costs] = E_{\omega} \left[\min_{\substack{x \in R_{+}^{p}}} \{q^{t}y: Wy = h(\omega) - Tx\}\right] = \sum_{\omega} p_{\omega} \ \vartheta_{\omega}$

Linear
$$\vartheta_{\omega} = \left(\min_{x \in R^{p}_{+}} \{q^{t}y: Wy = h(\omega) - Tx\} \right) = \left(\max_{x \in R^{m}_{+}} \{(h(\omega) - Tx)^{t}v: W^{t}v = q\} \right)$$

Program $= \max_{v^{i} \in \{v^{1}, v^{2}, \dots, v^{k}\}} (h(\omega) - Tx)^{t}v^{i}$ Stage 2

$$\min_{y \in R^n_+: Ay=b} \{ c^t y + E_{\omega} [stage \ 2 \ costs] \}$$

Linear Program

$$= \min_{\substack{y \in R_{+}^{n} \\ s.t. \\ \theta_{\omega} \ge \max_{v^{i} \in \{v^{1}, v^{2}, \dots\}}}} \left\{ b(\omega) - Tx \right\}^{t} v^{i} \qquad \forall \omega$$

Lower and Upper bounds can be calculated to detect convergence

y

Stage 2

Parallel Implementation in CHARM++

- With a large number of stage 2 scenarios
 - Obvious gross parallelism Solve scenarios on multiple cores
- Some things to note:
 - Cannot trivially break down individual stage 2 problems
 - LPs solved using Simplex Method
 - Each LP is large and can take significant amount of solution time
 - Scenario solve times can be highly variable
 - Messages sent will be very large if each scenario must be transmitted to its requesting processor
 - Dedicated processors for solving stage 1 and stage 2 problems
 - Each processor has a copy of the model
 - Need only pass the "RHS" to set up the correct scenario

Dependence between Stage 2 scenarios

- Each scenario can be solved starting from optimal dual basis of last scenario solved
 - Solve times depend on order in which scenarios are solved (not known a priori)



Solution – Clustering

Growth of Stage 1 Solve times



Cut retirement scheme



Cut Retirement Threshold



Max is 18 versus 50 without cut retirement

Scalability



(10t, 1000 scenarios) on Abe (Intel 64 Cluster)

Next Step: Mixed Integer Stochastic Program

- Allocations stage 1 "y variables" must be integral
 - Two approaches
 - Solve Stage 1 problem as an integer program
 - Cumbersome must solve increasingly larger integer programs at each round
 - Inefficient Nothing from prior rounds can be kept for succeeding rounds
 - Branch and Bound -Solve Stochastic LP at each node of the Branch and Bound tree
 - Benders cuts generated at any node of the tree are valid at all nodes of the tree
 - Each node inherits the enhanced LP of its parent node and can add more cuts as required
 - Can progressively tighten convergence tolerance as we go deeper down the tree where we are more likely to prune.
 - Since Stage 1 becomes an increasing bottleneck, we can buffer stage 2 processors by creating sufficient BnB nodes to keep stage 2 processors occupied
 - Rich parallel structure allows (will require) more efficient prioritization and scheduling schemes
- What about integral stage 2 variables?
 - Each scenario becomes an integer program!
 - Every terminated node of the "y variable tree" is a root for an integer program with M*S integer variables!
 - May not be practical to solve optimally.

Backup Slides

Algebraic Formulation

Stage One Formulation

$$\begin{array}{lll} \min & \sum_{j} TP(R_{j}^{1}\sum_{l}\tilde{y}_{j,l}) + \sum_{j\in J_{Mil},l,m,t} Ch_{j}y_{j,l,m,t} + \sum_{s} \pi_{s}\Theta_{s}(\mathbf{y},\mathbf{I}) \\ & \text{s.t.} \\ Training Allocation : & \sum_{f,p:l_{p}=l,j_{p}=j} I_{f,p,t} &\leq y_{j,l,4} &\forall t \\ & Feasible Allocation 1 : & \sum_{m} y_{j,l,m,t} &\leq Y_{j,l} &\forall j \in J_{Mil},l,t \\ & Feasible Allocation 2 : & \sum_{m} y_{j,l,m,t} - \tilde{y}_{j,l} &\leq Y_{j,l} &\forall j \in J_{Civ},l,t \\ & Leased Aircraft & & \tilde{y}_{j,l} &\leq \tilde{Y}_{j,l} &\forall j \in J_{Civ},l \end{array}$$

$$\begin{aligned} Stage \ & 2 \ Cuts: \ \ \theta_s \geq \ \ Opt_s(\mathbf{y}^*, \mathbf{I}^*) + \sum_{j \in G_1, l, m, t} (A_{j,t}(\omega)v^s_{7, j, l, m, t} + \mu_j v^s_{9, j, l, m})(y_{j, l, m, t} - y^*_{j, l, m, t}) \\ & + \sum_{j \in G_1, l, m} (A_{j,t}(\omega)(v^s_{7, j, l, t} + \mu_j v^s_{9, j, l}) \sum_{\{p: p \in AV_{t'}, j_p = j, l_p = l, t\}} (I_{\hat{f}, p, t} - I^*_{\hat{f}, p, t})) \end{aligned}$$

Stage Two Formulation

$$Opt_{s}(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{I}) = \min \sum_{\substack{j,l,m,t \\ +\sum_{r,j,m,t}}} R_{j}^{2} \hat{y}_{j,l,m,t}^{2} + \sum_{i,k,t} P_{k,1}^{1} u_{i,k,1,t}^{1} + \sum_{i,k,t=rdd(i)}^{rdd(i)+t_{i,2}} P_{k,2}^{1} u_{i,k,2,t}^{1} + \sum_{i,k,m,t} P_{k,m}^{2} u_{i,k,m,t}^{2}$$

+
$$\sum_{r,j,m,t} E_{r,j} x_{r,j,t}^{m} + \dots$$

s.t.

Channel

Algebraic Formulation (cont.)

Contingency $-(u_{i,k,2,t-1}^1 + u_{i,k,2,t-1}^2) + \sum_{r \in S_{i,j}} \sum_j z_{i,j,k,r,t}^2$ Demand1: $+u^1_{i,k,2,t}+u^2_{i,k,2,t} \ = D^2_{i,k,t}(\omega)$ $\forall i \notin \mathcal{TS}, k, t$ $Demand2: \quad -(u_{i,k,2,t-1}^1 + u_{i,k,2,t-1}^2) + \sum_{r \in S_{i,j}} \sum_{j \in J_{Mil}} z_{i,j,k,r,t}^2$ + $\sum z_{i,j,k,r,t}^2 + u_{i,k,2,t}^1 + u_{i,k,2,t}^2 = D_{i,k,t}^2(\omega)$ $\forall i \in \mathcal{TS}, k, t$ $\sum_{r \in S1_{i,j} \in J_{Cin}} z_{i,j,k,r,(t-\Delta_r^{i,j})}^2 - \sum_{r \in S2_{i,j} \in J_{Mil}} z_{i,j,k,r,t}^2 = 0$ $\forall i \in \mathcal{TS}, k, t$ Transshipment : $\sum_{k \in K_j} \sum_{i:OD(i)=odi} z_{i,j,k,r,(t+\Delta_r^{i,j})}^2 - C_{r,odi,j}^2 x_{r,j,t}^2 \le 0$ Aggregate capacity : $\forall odi, j, r, t$ $\sum_{i:OD(i)=odi} z_{i,j,k,r,(t+\Delta_r^{i,j})}^2 - C_{r,i,j}^k x_{r,j,t}^2 \le 0$ Specific capacity: $\forall odi, j, r, t$ $0 \le u_{i,k,2,t-1}^1 \le H_{i,k,t}^2 \quad \forall i,k,m,t$ Price Break: SAAM

 $Demand: \qquad \qquad \sum_{r \in S_{i,j}} x^3_{r,j,(t-\Delta^{n,j}_r)} = D^3_{i,j,t}(\omega) \quad \forall i,j,t$

Algebraic Formulation (cont.)

Joint allocation Flying times :

$$\sum_{\substack{t',O(r)=l}} T^m_{j,r,t,t'} x^m_{r,j,t'} \le A_{j,t}(\omega)(y_{j,l,m,t} + \sum_{\substack{\{p:p \in AV_{t'}, j_p=j, l_p=l\}}} I_{\hat{f},p,t})$$

$$\forall j \in G_1, l, m, t$$

$$\sum_{\substack{t',O(r)=l}} T^m_{j,r,t,t'} x^m_{r,j,t'} - A_{j,t} \hat{y}^2_{j,l,m,t} \le 0 \quad \forall j \in G_2, l, m, t$$

$$\sum_{t} \sum_{r} T_{r,j}^{\prime m}(x_{r,j,t}^{m}) \leq \sum_{t} \mu_{j}(y_{j,l,m,t}) + \sum_{t} \sum_{\{p:p \in AV_{t'}, j_{p}=j, l_{p}=l\}} \Gamma_{f,p,t}^{\prime m} \quad \forall j \in G_{1}, l, m$$

$$\sum_{r \in Q1_l} \sum_j TR_{r,j,l} x_{r,j,(t-\Delta_r^j)}^m = \sum_{r \in Q2_l} \sum_{j \in JT} x_{r,j,t}^m \quad \forall l \in LA, t, m$$