ChaNGa



CHArm N-body GrAvity





Laxmikant Kale Filippo Gioachin **Pritish Jetley Celso Mendes Amit Sharma** Lukasz Wesolowski Edgar Solomonik **Orion Lawlor**

Outline

- Scientific background
 - Cosmology and fundamental questions
 - Galaxy catalogs and simulations
 - Simulation Challenges
- Charm++ and those challenges
 - Previous state of the art: Gasoline
 - AMPI and Gasoline
 - Charm++ and the send paradigm
 - CkCache, etc.
- Future Challenges

Cosmology at 130,000 years



Image courtesy NASA/WMAP





... is not so simple

Computational Cosmology

CMB has fluctuations of 1e-5

Galaxies are overdense by 1e7

It happens (mostly) through Gravitational Collapse

Making testable predictions from a cosmological hypothesis requires

Non-linear, dynamic calculation

e.g. Computer simulation

Simulating galaxies: Procedure

- 1. Simulate 100 Mpc volume at 10-100 kpc resolution
- 2. Pick candidate galaxies for further study
- 3. Resimulate galaxies with same large scale structure but with higher resolution, and lower resolution in the rest of the computational volume.
- 4. At higher resolutions, include gas physics and star formation.





Galactic structure in the local Universe: What's needed

- 1 Million particles/galaxy for proper morphology/heavy element production
- 800 M core-hours
- Necessary for:
 - Comparing with Hubble Space Telescope surveys of the local Universe
 - Interpreting HST images of high redshift galaxies

Large Scale Structure: What's needed

- 700 Megaparsec volume for "fair sample" of the Universe
- 18 trillion core-hours (~ exaflop year)
- Necessary for:
 - Interpreting future surveys (LSST)
 - Relating Cosmic Microwave Background to galaxy surveys
- Cmp. Exaflop example from P. Jetley:
 - 200 Mpc volume

Computational Challenges

- Large spacial dynamic range: > 100 Mpc to < 1 kpc
 - Hierarchical, adaptive gravity solver is needed
- Large temporal dynamic range: 10 Gyr to < 1 Myr
 - Multiple timestep algorithm is needed
- Gravity is a long range force
 - Hierarchical information needs to go across processor domains

Basic Gravity algorithm ...

- Newtonian gravity interaction
 - Each particle is influenced by all others: $O(n^2)$ algorithm
- Barnes-Hut approximation: O(*n*log*n*)
 - Influence from distant particles combined into center of mass



Legacy Code: PKDGRAV/GASOLINE

- Originally implemented on KSR2
 - Ported to: PVM, pthreads, MPI, T3D, CHARM++
- KD tree domain decomposition/load balancing
- Software cache: latency amortization



PKDGRAV/GASOLINE Issues

- Load balancing creates more work, systematic errors.
- Multistep domain decomposition
- Latency amortization, but not hiding via software cache
 - Fast network is required
 - SPH scaling is poor
- Porting: MPI became the standard platform

Clustering and Load Balancing



Charm++ features

- "Automatic", measurement-based load balancing.
- Natural overlap of computation and communication
- Not hardwired to a given data structure.
- Object Oriented: reuse of existing code.
- Portable
- NAMD: molecular dynamics is similar.
- Approachable group!

Building a Treecode in CHARM++: Porting GASOLINE

- AMPI port of GASOLINE
 - Very straightforward
 - Adding Virtual Processors gave poor performance: separate caches increased communication
- CHARM++ port of GASOLINE
 - Good match to RMI design
 - Charm++ allowed some minor speed improvements
 - Still, more than one element/processor does not work well

Building a Treecode in CHARM++: Starting afresh

- Follow Charm++ paradigm: send particle data as walk crossed boundaries
- Very large number of messages.
- Back to software cache



User View

Overall Algorithm



ChaNGa Features

- Tree-based gravity solver
- High order multipole expansion
- Periodic boundaries (if needed)
- Individual multiple timesteps
- Dynamic load balancing with choice of strategies
- Checkpointing (via migration to disk)
- Visualization

Zoom-in Scaling



04/25/11

Parallel Programming Laboratory @ UIUC

Multistep Loadbalancer

- Use Charm++ measurement based load balancer
- Modification: provide LB database with information about timestepping.
 - "Large timestep": balance based on previous large step
 - "Small step" balance based on previous small step
 - Maintains principle of persistence

Results on 3 rung example



613s

429s

228s

Multistep Scaling



Smooth Particle Hydrodynamics

- Making testable predictions needs Gastrophysics
 - High Mach number
 - Large density contrasts
- Gridless, Lagrangian method
- Galilean invariant
- Monte-Carlo Method for solving Navier-Stokes equation.
- Natural extension of particle method for gravity.

SPH Challenges

- Increased density contrasts/time stepping.
- K-nearest neighbor problem.
 Trees!
- More data/particle than gravity
- Less computation than gravity
- Latency much more noticable

SPH Scaling



Ethernet scaling



Current uses

- Large scale structure
 - Dynamics of gas in galaxy clusters
 - Galaxy formation in the local Universe
- Galactic dynamics
 - Formation of nuclear star clusters
 - Disk heating from substructure
- Protoplanetary disks
 - Thermodynamics and radiative transfer

Future



- More Physics
 - Cooling/Star formation recipes
 - Charm++ allows reuse of PKDGRAV code
- Better gravity algorithms
 - New domain decomposition/load balancing strategies
 - Multicore/heterogeneous machines
- Other Astrophysical problems
 - Planet formation
 - Planetary rings

Charm++ features: reprise

"Automatic", measurement-based load balancing.

But needs thought and work

- Migration to GPGPU and SMP
- Object Oriented: reuse of existing code.
- Approachable group
 - Enhance Charm++ to solve our problems.

Summary

- Cosmological simulations provide a challenges to parallel implementations
 - Non-local data dependencies
 - Hierarchical in space and time
- ChaNGa has been successful in addressing this challenges using Charm++ features
 - Message priorities
 - New load balancers