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(4) New algorithms for quantum simulations
(5) Can they be made to scale?
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- Tools we need:
- Tensor I/O
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- Singular Value Decompositions (SVDs)
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- SciLAPACK
- ARPACK
- Problems:
- Incomplete!
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- Lots of boilerplate and plumbing code needed
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## The Vision

## A parallel linear-algebra intensive code should not be more complicated than the algorithm being implemented.

## A Simple Example

(1) Read in an array
(2) Increment all entries in the array by 1
(3) Sum all of the entries in the array
(4) Divide all entries in the array by the sum
(5) Write out the array

## A Simple Example

DistributedArray<float, 1> A(16,1<<20);
A.loadFrom("infile");

A $+=1$;
float s = A.sum();
A / = $s$;
A.writeTo("outfile");

## A More Complicated Example

(c) Read in matrices $A$ and $B$
(2) Invert $A$ and $B$
(c) Multiply them together to form $M$
(9) Break $M$ apart back into $A$ and $B$ using a SVD
(0) Invert $A$ and $B$ again
( Save $A$ and $B$

## A More Complicated Example

```
DistributedArray<float,2> A(8,1024,1024),
    B(8,1024,1024), M(16,1024,1024);
DistributedArray<float,1> Sigma(16,1024);
A.loadFrom("A.in"); B.loadFrom("B.in");
inv(A); inv(B);
matmul(A,B,M);
svd(S,A,Sigma,B);
inv(A); inv(B);
A.writeTo("A.out"); B.writeTo("B.out");
```
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## The Recipe

## Ingrediants:

- Asynchronous communication
- Master/Slave architecture
- Explicit ordering and data dependencies

The result:

- Local coordination of task scheduling
- (Caveat: Central decisions)
- Automatic parallelization of parallel tasks
(Effectively building and walking a DAG.)


## The Recipe

Ingrediants:

- Asynchronous communication
- Master/Slave architecture
- Explicit ordering and data dependencies

The result:

- Local coordination of task scheduling - (Caveat: Central decisions)
- Automatic parallelization of parallel tasks
(Effectively building and walking a DAG.)


## The Recipe

Ingrediants:

- Asynchronous communication
- Master/Slave architecture
- Explicit ordering and data dependencies

The result:

- Local coordination of task scheduling
- (Caveat: Central decisions)
- Automatic parallelization of parallel tasks
(Effectively building and walking a DAG.)


## The Recipe

Ingrediants:

- Asynchronous communication
- Master/Slave architecture
- Explicit ordering and data dependencies

The result:

- Local coordination of task scheduling
- (Caveat: Central decisions)
- Automatic parallelization of parallel tasks
(Effectively building and walking a DAG.)


## Why Charm++?

- Asynchronous communication model
- AMPI provides "virtualization" MPI libraries
- Emphasis on higher-level programming


## Why Charm++?

- Asynchronous communication model
- AMPI provides "virtualization" MPI libraries
- Emphasis on higher-level programming


## Why Charm++?

- Asynchronous communication model
- AMPI provides "virtualization" MPI libraries
- Emphasis on higher-level programming


## Why Charm++?

- Asynchronous communication model
- AMPI provides "virtualization" MPI libraries
- Emphasis on higher-level programming


## The Components

- Array Master/Slave Controller
- Distributed Array
- Block-cyclic Ârray
- Operations
- AMPI Master/Slave Controller
- BLACS Grid Master/Slave (interface to BLACS)
- Block IO Master/Slave (interface to ROMIO)
- Matrix multiplier


## The Components

- Array Master/Slave Controller
- Distributed Array
- Block-cyclic Array
- Operations
- AMPI Master/Slave Controller
- BLACS Grid Master/Slave (inte rface to BLACS)
- Block IO Master/Slave (interface to ROMIO)
- Matrix multiplier


## The Components

- Array Master/Slave Controller
- Distributed Array
- Block-cyclic Array
- Operations
- AMPI Master/Slave Controller
- BLACS Grid Master/Slave (interface to BLACS)
- Block IO Master/Slave (interface to ROMIO)
- Matrix multiplier


## The Components

- Array Master/Slave Controller
- Distributed Array
- Block-cyclic Array
- Operations
- AMPI Master/Slave Controller
- BLACS Grid Master/Slave (interface to BLACS)
- Block IO Master/Slave (interface to ROMIO)
- Matrix multiplier


## The Components

- Array Master/Slave Controller
- Distributed Array
- Block-cyclic Array
- Operations
- AMPI Master/Slave Controller
- BLACS Grid Master/Slave (interface to BLACS)
- Block IO Master/Slave (interface to ROMIO)
- Matrix multiplier


## The Components

- Array Master/Slave Controller $\longrightarrow$ ordered
- Distributed Array
- Block-cyclic Array
- Operations
- AMPI Master/Slave Controller $\longrightarrow$ coordinated
- BLACS Grid Master/Slave (interface to BLACS)
- Block IO Master/Slave (interface to ROMIO)
- Matrix multiplier


## Array Master/Slave Architecture

Question: How do we enforce ordering of operations?
Answer: Operation counters + slave priority queues

Question: How do we implement the counter?

- Global counter for all slaves
- Commands sent to single slaves waste bandwidth!
- Separate counter for each slave
- Huge table needed to track counters!
- Global operations can no longer be broadcasted!
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## Array Master/Slave Architecture

My solution: Global counter with "stealing"

- Every operation increments the global counter
- Single slaves may "steal" a value of the counter
- Broadcasts to all slaves contain a list of who stole the counter since the last broadcast. This way every slave knows which values they should skip and which they need to wait for.
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- Operation ordering
- Addressing - map from coordinates to slave number
- Slaves: (1D array)
- Operation execution
- Local data stored using Blitz++, a high level array class templated on type and dimension
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## Example

DistributedArray<int, 3> vec (6, 2, 3,4); Array<int, 3> x(2,3,4);
$\mathrm{x}=1,2,3,4$,
5, 6, 7, 8,
9,10,11,12,
$-1,-2,-3,-5$,
-7,-9,-11,-13,
$-17,-19,-23,-29$,
$-31,-37,-41,-43$;
vec = x;

## Example

```
vec++;
vec *= -1;
cout << "0,0,0 = " << (int)vec(0,0,0) << endl;
for(int i = 0; i < 2; i++)
    for(int j = 0; j < 3; j++)
        for(int k = 0; k < 4; k += 2)
        vec(i,j,k) *= -1;
```

vec.abs();
vec.gatherInto(x);

## AMPI Controller

- Purpose: To allow access to libraries written in MPI
- BLACS - opens the door to parallel linear algebra libraries such as ScaLAPACK
- ROMIO - parallel I/O
- Contains no data itself
- Requires coordination but not ordering
- Uses TCharm to provide the virtual MPI layer
- Slaves inherit from TCharm
- Constructor launches the TCharm thread
- TCharm thread pulls operations from a "ready" queue until none are left, then it goes to sleep
- Slave chare wakes up the thread when a new operation is ready to be run
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## Privateer

Problem: Most C libraries are not designed to be multi-threaded! They assume that they have exclusive access to their global/static variables.

Solution: Replace all references to global/static variables with pointers into a thread-local structure.

Privateer accomplishes this, and is designed to work on arbitrary C code; it uses a Converse thread-private variable to store a pointer to the global variable table for the thread.
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## Matrix Multiplication

$$
\sum_{j} \mathbf{A}_{i j} \cdot \mathbf{B}_{j k}=\mathbf{C}_{i k}
$$
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Chunks sent in an Arraymessage to minimize copying.
Each chare only needs to know

- Reduction section
- Result callback
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## Matrix Multiplication

$$
\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{D}=(\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{B}) \cdot(\mathbf{C} \cdot \mathbf{D})
$$
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- Need to profile matrix multiplication algorithm and extend to implement tensor contractions
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