Detecting and Using Critical Paths at Runtime in Message Driven Parallel Programs

Isaac Dooley Department of Computer Science University of Illinois idooley2@illinois.edu

Abstract

Detecting critical paths in traditional message passing parallel programs can be useful for post-mortem performance analysis. This paper presents an efficient online algorithm for detecting critical paths for message-driven parallel programs. Initial implementations of the algorithm have been created in three message-driven parallel languages: Charm++, Charisma, and Structured Dagger. Not only does this work describe a novel implementation of critical path detection for the message-driven programs, but also the resulting critical paths are successfully used as the program runs for automatic performance tuning. The actionable information provided by the critical path is shown to be useful for online performance tuning within the context of the message driven parallel model, whereas it has never been used for online purposes within the traditional message passing model.

1. Introduction

Critical paths are important paths through the execution of a parallel program. In the past, critical path detection schemes were developed for some typical message passing models such as PVM [4] and MPI [8]. These approaches record a distributed Program Activity Graph (PAG) as a program executes by storing local portions of the PAG on each processor while augmenting each message sent between processors with information about the critical path leading up to the message send. The critical path can be extracted through a backwards traversal of the distributed PAG.

Although researchers have developed methods for detecting critical paths within the message-passing models of parallel computation, they have not previously detected critical paths at runtime within a message-driven execution model of parallel computing. Laxmikant V. Kale Department of Computer Science University of Illinois kale@illinois.edu

In this work, we implement an efficient critical path detection mechanism inside the Charm++ messagedriven distributed object system. The Charm++ programming model has fundamental differences from the more widely used approach of programming at the level of communicating processors. These differences require revisiting and adapting the known algorithms for critical path profiling, but the differences also provide fertile new ground for novel uses of the resulting critical path profiles. This paper describes both the the implementation of critical path detection for the message-driven programs and how the resulting critical paths can be successfully used for online automatic performance tuning and for other tasks.

We show that the critical path profiles obtained online by our implementation can be used to automatically tune the performance of a complicated realworld quantum chemistry application, improving its performance by 10.2%.

2. Message Driven Parallel Programs

The most widely used parallel programming model for distributed memory systems is the message passing model which has become standardized in MPI [3]. An alternative model is the message-driven execution model. In this model, the programmer does not write programs explicitly for a set of processors as is done in MPI, but rather the programmer describes the computation as a set of tasks whose computation is driven by messages sent by other tasks. The tasks are mapped onto the computational resources dynamically by the runtime system.

The message driven execution model is a paradigm that has proven to be successful for parallel programming. Scientific simulation codes such as NAMD [1] and OpenAtom [9] are written using this model. The message driven approach could also be called *data* *driven* because tasks are dynamically scheduled when the prerequisite data (usually in the form of messages) is available. Directed acyclic graphs (DAGs) can be used to describe a program's pattern of computation and communication, with the edges in the graph representing the dependencies between all the computation tasks in the parallel program. Tasks can be scheduled in any order as long as all the dependencies for each task have been satisfied before the task is executed. The parallel runtime system can record the DAG when the tasks in the program are executed.

A dynamic message driven program must therefore include a scheduler responsible for executing tasks once dependencies have been fulfilled. For this paper, we focus on the *Charm++* language [7] and two languages that each extend it: *Charisma* and *Structured Dagger*.

3. Program Activity Graph Terminology

As a parallel message-driven program executes, its execution can be represented by a directed acyclic program activity graph (PAG), composed of tasks and their dependencies. This section defines specifically what a program activity graph represents for message-driven parallel programs. Figure 1 shows a small example PAG composed of 8 tasks that ran on 4 processors.

Task: After its prerequisite dependencies have been fulfilled, a task is executed by a scheduler on a single processor. Each task may send messages that fulfill dependencies for other tasks.

Task Prefix: A task prefix is the portion of a task from its beginning to the point where a message is sent or the task ends. There exist m + 1 task prefixes for each task which sends m messages. The weight of each task prefix is the the execution time for the task prefix.

Initial Task: Each program starts with the execution of a single initial task.

Terminal Task: A terminal task causes the parallel program to terminate.

Message Edge: A message edge represents a dependency from a sending task prefix to the execution of a task spawned by the message.

Program Order Edge: Other dependencies due to sequencing requirements in the program are represented by program order edges. In a message-driven system, these sequencing requirements could be implemented as messages.

Program Activity Graph (PAG): A PAG represents an execution of a parallel program, with one vertex for each task prefix and a set of edges comprising the dependencies between the task prefixes. The PAG is therefore a directed acyclic vertex-weighted graph.

Task In-Degree: Each task is executed once a set of messages have arrived and all other order dependencies have been satisfied. The in-degree of a task is the number of incoming message edges and program order edges to the task. The in-degree for all non-initial tasks is ≥ 1 .

Task Out-Degree: Each task prefix either results in the sending of a message, or the completion of the task. Each message send or task completion can be the start of a message edge or program order edge in the program activity graph. The out-degree of each task is the number of messages sent by the task, plus the number of program order edges produced by the end of the task. All non-terminal tasks have out-degree ≥ 1 .

Path: A path is an alternating sequence of task prefixes and edges in the PAG beginning with some task prefix and ending with another task prefix, where each task prefix is incident to both the edge that precedes it and the edge that follows it in the sequence.

Path Duration: The path duration is the sum of the node weights (task prefix execution durations) along the path. The path duration represents the minimum possible execution time of the path, with unlimited processors and an infinitely fast network.

Critical Path (t):

For each task t in the PAG, its critical path is the path of maximal path duration which ends at t and starts at the initial task.

The path duration of the critical path for a phase of an application represents a lower bound on the execution time for the application phase. It does not include any communication times along the path or the computation times for other unrelated concurrent tasks.

Critical Path Profile (*t*):

The critical path profile for any task t is the critical path for t augmented with useful information about the task prefixes comprising the path.

4. Algorithm for Determining a Critical Path

To determine the critical path for a program execution, we use an approach similar to the approach described in [5]. In both approaches, a distributed PAG is constructed at runtime, but the exact details of what is stored in the table is different. In our approach, each processor maintains a table of all task prefixes that have executed locally. Figure 2 shows an example of a PAG and the local information stored on each processor. To store the necessary information, an entry is added to



Figure 1. Example timeline view of a parallel program activity graph. Task A represents an initial task that multicasts a messages to two other processors. Task prefix B can execute once its message from A arrives. Then task prefix B sends a message. Task C can only execute after three preceding tasks have completed.



Figure 2. The tables created on each of 4 processors representing the PAG shown in Figure 3. The specific entries for task prefixes with in degree greater than zero depend upon the actual program execution, but here all possibilities are shown.

the local processor's table each time a message is sent or when a task completes. Information that uniquely identifies the sending task prefix is appended to each message. Specifically, each message is augmented with two values, one records the duration of the path that led to the message send and a second uniquely identifies the sender-task-prefix in the sending processor's table. Messages must also contain a field specifying the index of the sending processor, but this field already exists in all Charm++ messages.

The critical path is determined for each task prior to its execution once all incoming dependencies have been satisfied. The path descriptors contained in all incoming messages or dependency edges are merged by selecting the one with maximal duration. All nonmaximal incoming paths are ignored. Keeping the



Figure 3. Illustration of how three incoming message dependencies are merged by recording only the one with maximal path duration. Each message contains information pointing back to a table entry for its sending task, as well as the critical path's duration.

maximum incoming path maintains the invariant that each critical path extended along a dependency edge is maximal (critical). Figure 3 shows an example of three incoming message dependencies for a task. The incoming path with maximum cumulative path duration is stored in the processor table to be able to trace back any critical path that includes the task.

When a path is propagated forward via a message or other dependency, the duration of extended path is found by adding the time spent executing the task prefix to the duration of its maximal incoming path. The new value representing the whole path duration is then stored in the newly prepared message.

When the critical path profile is required for a task t, a backward traversal through the distributed PAG is performed. At each step in the traversal, the information about the task prefix is retrieved and then its maximal incoming dependency edge is followed

backward.

5. Implementations

We have implemented the critical path detection algorithm inside the Charm++ runtime system. This implementation supports standard Charm++ programs as well as those written using the Structured Dagger or Charisma languages.

To implement the critical path profiling algorithm, the following portions of the Charm++ runtime system were modified¹:

- A new module was created.
- The module's startup routines on each processor create a table to hold the local portion of the PAG.
- The envelope used for all Charm++ messages was expanded to hold the critical path duration and a reference to the sender's table entry.
- The message send functions were modified to fill in the envelope fields with the information about the currently executing task, after creating a new table entry.
- Methods for performing the backwards traversal over the PAG were created within the new module.
- Macros were created to simplify the storing of the maximal known incoming edge and the comparing of it with each new incoming dependency.
- Instances of the macros were added to the Charm++ reduction methods.

To use the new critical path detection capabilities, a Charm++ program must be modified to add macros at each point where the program in-degree is greater than one. Charisma or Structured Dagger programs, however, do not require any modification because their compilers have been adapted to automatically insert the macro instances wherever required. Sections 5.1, 5.2, and 5.3 provide examples of all the various places in each of the three languages where the in-degree for a task could be greater than one.

5.1. Charm++ Programming Model

The first language supported by the new critical path detection scheme is Charm++. All Charm++ programs are written mostly in C++, with a small interface portion that is parsed by a very simple translator that generates C++ code.

In the Charm++ language, there are two places where in-degree is greater than 1. In one of these

```
class myClass: public CBase_myClass {
MERGE_PATH_DECLARE(A);
...
void recvGhost(msg *m) {
   buffer_msg(m);
   MERGE_PATH_MAX(A);
   if(recevied_all_msg()) {
      MERGE_PATH_RESET(A);
      subsequent_task();
   }
};
```

Figure 4. Multiple dependencies occur when buffering messages prior to executing a task.

two places, the user must augment their code with simple annotations specifying that multiple incoming messages are dependencies for a certain task.

- Reductions from multiple objects to a single destination entry method result in an in-degree greater than one. The reduction framework in Charm++ has been modified to correctly compute the maximal incoming paths along any reduction tree, so the user does not need to modify anything to handle the dependencies that arise due to reduction calls.
- 2) The user can buffer incoming messages explicitly until all necessary messages have arrived, at which point the execution of some task is performed. Each time the user buffers a message, a new implicit dependency is created and the in-degree of the task increases. Figure 4 shows an example of such explicit buffering. To correctly handle the critical paths, the user must augment the Charm++ program with macros specifying that there are multiple incoming message dependencies. To do this, the user must add three macros to declare, merge, and reset MERGE PATH DECLARE(t), the paths: MERGE PATH MAX(t), and MERGE_PATH_RESET(t). After all dependencies have arrived, the stored path information is reset for possible use in a future iteration. Each macro takes a parameter that distinguishes between multiple sets of dependencies that could be declared within the same scope in the source code. For example, if a single class has two tasks, each with multiple incoming dependencies, then MERGE_PATH_DECLARE (A) and MERGE PATH DECLARE (B) could be used to create data structures that store the two different incoming maximal paths.

^{1.} This implementation can be found in the publicly available development version of Charm++. The new module is located in the src/ck-cp directory.



Figure 5. In a Structured Dagger program, the task following an overlap block will depend upon program order edges produced by each of the overlapped tasks.

5.2. Structured Dagger Programming Language

The Structured Dagger language is an extension to Charm++. It allows a programmer to express a complicated control flow with various dependency patterns easily. In Structured Dagger programs, messages are buffered automatically until all input dependencies for an object have arrived, at which point the object's entry method is invoked. The dataflow patterns and all associated dependencies in the program are clearly expressed in the language, so the programmer does not need to add extra annotations to the program.

For clarity and to help implementers of similar languages, described below are the types of dependencies that must be handled for languages similar to Structured Dagger. Structured Dagger provides language constructs that impose ordering restrictions between the ends of some tasks and the beginnings of other tasks. Structured Dagger also provides language constructs for message sending and receiving.

- 1) All concurrent tasks specified inside an overlap block must complete before any subsequent task begins. Thus there are program order dependencies from the ends of the overlapped tasks to the beginning of the subsequent task. Figure 5 shows an example of this pattern.
- 2) Each when clause requires that one or more messages have been delivered prior to executing the following statement. Additionally, it requires that the preceding statement has also finished executing. Figure 6 shows an example of this pattern with two message dependencies and one program order dependency.



Figure 6. In this Structured Dagger example, the process task depends upon 2 messages as well as the program order dependency from the task preceding the when statement.

5.3. Charisma Programming Model

The Charisma language [6] is built upon Charm++. It allows a programmer to express various static dataflow and producer-consumer patterns easily. The dataflow patterns and all associated dependencies in the program are clearly expressed in the language, so programmers do not need to modify their programs for use with out the critical path detection scheme.

For clarity and to help implementers of similar languages, we will describe the types of dependencies that must be handled for languages such as Charisma. Charisma provides language constructs that impose ordering restrictions between the ends of some tasks and the beginnings of other tasks. Charisma also provides language constructs for producing and consuming messages.

There are two places in Charisma programs where tasks can have in-degrees exceeding one. The Charisma compiler has been modified to record the proper critical path information for these types of dependencies.

 A statement can consume multiple input parameters: workers[i].compute(lb[i+1],

```
rb[i-1]);
```

2) A reduction results in multiple dependencies flowing into a single task:

```
(+error) <- workers[i].getData();</pre>
```

6. Overhead

The overhead of using the critical path detection mechanism is small. Figure 7 plots the overhead for a simple benchmark program. The benchmark program was created to measure the costs associated with recording the table entries on each processor and the increased size of each message. Two versions of the benchmark program are run, and their results are compared to determine the overhead. In the first



Figure 7. Overhead of recording critical path information for varying computational granularities in a ring benchmark program.

version, the critical path functionality is disabled. The envelopes are not augmented with critical path table references, nor are the critical path tables allocated. The second version is compiled against a version of Charm++ containing the critical path mechanisms described in section 5. The benchmark program sends a small message around a ring of Charm++ objects. Each object, upon receipt of the message, performs some amount of CPU work, and then sends a copy of the message to the next object in the ring. The amount of work performed by each object is varied to simulate various computational granularities. If the amount of work is small, the ring proceeds faster, while if the amount of work is greater, the ring proceeds more slowly. Each of the ring executions is timed, and the granularity of the program is calculated: $tasks per second = \frac{number of hops in ring}{ring execution time}$. The benchmark results shown in Figure 7 correspond to an execution of the benchmark with 40,000 hops around the ring for each granularity sample to amortize away perturbations.

Ultimately, the overhead for a program executing 10,000 task prefixes per second on each processor will incur an overhead of about 1% by recording the information necessary to reconstruct a critical path profile, as seen in figure 7. That is, each task executes for about $100\mu s$ with an overhead of $1\mu s$.

7. Using Critical Path Profiles

In related work, critical path profiles were gathered in online or semi-online manners, but the resulting critical path profiles were only used for offline performance analysis. One novel contribution of this work is to show that critical path profiles can be used both for automatic online performance tuning and for offline manual performance analysis.

There are already multiple uses of the new critical path detection mechanisms in the Charm++ runtime system. Section 7.1 describes a simple online automatic task prioritization scheme that improves a complicated real-world application's performance by 10.2%. Section 7.2 describes some initial work in using critical paths at runtime to reduce the volume of performance trace data that is gathered for use in offline postmortem performance analysis tools. Finally, sections 7.3 and 7.4 describe the uses of the critical paths offline in a more traditional manner to guide manual performance analysis.

7.1. Automatically tuning task priorities

One of the most obvious uses of critical path profiles at runtime is to automatically adjust the scheduling priorities for tasks. In many Charm++ programs, message priorities are hand tuned using both the programmer's intuition and experimental runs testing different configurations. Such manual tuning is time consuming and not portable for all applications.

An automatic message prioritization scheme was created in the Charm++ runtime system. The scheme extracts a list of types of tasks from a critical path profile. The autoprioritization mechanism then modifies message priorities when outgoing messages are prepared by the runtime system just prior to being sent. In the current implementation, only messages allocated with priority bits are modified. The priorities on the messages are set based on whether or not the destination task type is found within a critical path profile. Messages destined for critical path task types are given a high priority while messages destined for non-critical path task types are given a low priority. All other messages will retain a default medium priority. Using this simple autoprioritization scheme, speedups can be observed in real applications.

The developers of the OpenAtom quantum chemistry application [2] have found that by manually tuning message priorities, the application performance varies by about 10%. Unfortunately, the manually chosen priority configurations that work well on one parallel machine and input problem do not work well on other machines or with other input problems. Thus if the priorities could be automatically tuned, the performance of the application would improve for many of its users and the effort required to manually tune the program would be eliminated. Our test shows that indeed an automatic message prioritization scheme is useful.

To test the effectiveness of the automatic message prioritization scheme, we made two minor sets of modifications to the OpenAtom source code. The first modification was to add macros to mark the multiple incoming dependencies for certain tasks. These changes required adding the MERGE_PATH_DECLARE and corresponding MERGE_PATH_RESET MERGE_PATH_MAX and macros in 6 locations within 3 different classes in the source code. The second modification was to add a call to useThisCriticalPathForPriorities() after a specific iteration to start traversing the critical path and request that it be used for message prioritization. All of these changes were easy to make.

To run the program, we used the *water 32 70* system and ran the program on 64 processors of the Cray XT5 machine, Kraken, at NICS. The configuration files were modified to enable the prioritization of three types of messages, of which only two are enabled by default. We did not modify any of the specific message priority coefficients. Then the application was run for 40 application iterations. The first half of the iterations used the default message priorities while the second half used the automatic message prioritization scheme based upon the critical path gathered at iteration 20. The iteration timings output by the program were analyzed to determine the benefits of the automatic prioritization scheme relative to the default message priorities. Specifically, two startup iterations and the two fastest and slowest iterations for each case were ignored, resulting in 15 remaining iteration times for each case.

The resulting performance of the automatically prioritized portion of the application's execution was 10.2% faster than the other portion that used the default priorities. In both portions of the execution, the critical path algorithm is enabled, so any overheads associated with the critical path detection are present in both portions.

7.2. Performance Analysis Data Reduction

A second use of critical path profiles is to reduce the volume of data produced for post-mortem performance analysis at runtime. The critical path profile itself can be used online for filtering trace data produced by parallel programs run on many processors. At the end of the program, the critical path profile is produced and broadcast to all processors. The processors use this resulting critical path profile to determine how to filter their local performance trace logs before writing them



Figure 8. The critical paths can enhance visualizations of performance analysis in post-mortem analysis tools. This shows a timeline view of 6 processors of a Charm++ program simulating the 2-D wave equation. The task prefixes along the critical path are displayed as dark blue bars above on top of each processors' tasks.

to disk. The volume of performance data that needs to be analyzed offline is much smaller. Such savings are significant for large program runs on hundreds of thousands of processors.

To use this feature in the current implementation, the parallel program can simply make a call near the end of its computation to traceCriticalPathBack(). This call will trace the critical path back to its origin at which point the entire path will be broadcast to all processors. The recipients of the broadcast will instruct the performance log tracing framework to not output the log files to disk if the processor is not found along the critical path tasks. Thus any uninteresting processors, namely those not along the critical path, will not write to disk their potentially large trace logs. The exact savings in data volume are program dependent.

7.3. Post-Mortem Performance Analysis

Critical paths can be displayed in a post-mortem performance analysis tool to help visually identify features of the program's execution in a timeline view. Our system provides the ability to generate the necessary trace log data from a critical path profile. Figure 8 shows such a performance analysis visualization of a Charm++ program that solves the wave equation over a 2-d grid. Each processor contains 2 partitions of the 2-D problem domain. Thus about half of the program's execution time is spent along the critical path. There is a neighbor communication of ghost values each step, and each worker task is augmented with code that merges the incoming paths as described in section 5.1.



Figure 9. Time to compute frequently repeated sub-paths for varying lengths of input critical paths. The minimum support level is the number of times a sub-path must appear for it to be considered frequent.

7.4. Phase Detection

A final use of critical paths is to automatically detect repeating phases in a parallel application's execution. If the application's behavior is relatively static and the program executes a large number of iterations, which is common in most scientific computations, then the critical path should reflect the repeated phases of the program. Searching for phases in complete trace data would likely take longer than searching for phases in the much simpler critical path through the program's execution.

To make the problem of finding phases in the critical path easier, a critical path can be translated into a string over an alphabet of different types of tasks. The problem of finding repeating phases of execution along the critical path is the same as the problem of finding frequently repeated substrings. A preliminary implementation has been created using a dynamic programming technique to quickly build up the sets of frequently occuring substrings. This implementation arbitrarily requires that the frequently used substrings must have a minimum support level of 6, meaning that any candidate substrings. The higher the minimum support level, the faster the algorithm runs, but very long infrequent strings might not be observed.

The final output from the technique is the substring with maximal weighted coverage in the whole string. The weighted coverage is calculated to be *number of substring instances* \times (*substring length*)². This weighted coverage measurement favors frequently occurring repeated substrings while especially favoring substrings with

long lengths.

The execution time for the frequent sub-path technique is shown in figure 9. The method appears to have execution time of $\mathcal{O}(n)$ for the trace string produced by a run of OpenAtom, with some beneficial cache effects for small strings. The actual worst-case performance is data dependent. With a minimum support level of 6, it takes about 0.1 seconds to extract the frequent subpath from an OpenAtom critical path profile of length 1721.

References

- [1] A. Bhatele, S. Kumar, C. Mei, J. C. Phillips, G. Zheng, and L. V. Kale. Overcoming Scaling Challenges in Biomolecular Simulations across Multiple Platforms. In Proceedings of IEEE International Parallel and Distributed Processing Symposium 2008, April 2008.
- [2] E. Bohm, A. Bhatele, L. V. Kale, M. E. Tuckerman, S. Kumar, J. A. Gunnels, and G. J. Martyna. Fine Grained Parallelization of the Car-Parrinello ab initio MD Method on Blue Gene/L. *IBM Journal of Research* and Development: Applications of Massively Parallel Systems, 52(1/2):159–174, 2008.
- [3] M. P. I. Forum. MPI-2: Extensions to the message-passing interface, 1997. http://www.mpiforum.org/docs/mpi-20-html/mpi2-report.html.
- [4] J. Hollingsworth. Critical path profiling of message passing and shared-memory programs. *Parallel and Distributed Systems, IEEE Transactions on*, 9(10):1029– 1040, Oct 1998.
- [5] J. K. Hollingsworth. An online computation of critical path profiling. In SPDT '96: Proceedings of the SIG-METRICS symposium on Parallel and distributed tools, pages 11–20, New York, NY, USA, 1996. ACM.
- [6] C. Huang and L. V. Kale. Charisma: Orchestrating migratable parallel objects. In *Proceedings of IEEE International Symposium on High Performance Distributed Computing (HPDC)*, July 2007.
- [7] L. V. Kale, E. Bohm, C. L. Mendes, T. Wilmarth, and G. Zheng. Programming Petascale Applications with Charm++ and AMPI. In D. Bader, editor, *Petascale Computing: Algorithms and Applications*, pages 421– 441. Chapman & Hall / CRC Press, 2008.
- [8] M. Schulz. Extracting critical path graphs from mpi applications. *Cluster Computing*, 2005, pages 1 – 10, Sep 2005.
- [9] R. V. Vadali, Y. Shi, S. Kumar, L. V. Kale, M. E. Tuckerman, and G. J. Martyna. Scalable fine-grained parallelization of plane-wave-based ab initio molecular dynamics for large supercomputers. *Journal of Comptational Chemistry*, 25(16):2006–2022, Oct. 2004.